Thank you for your interest in the Topic Access Program! The Topic Access Program offers an alternative path to membership onto the Law Review. If you choose to accept an offer of membership, you will have the same responsibilities as your peers accepted through the Writing Competition. If you have questions, please contact the Topic Access & Recruitment Editors, Shang-Chi Andrew Liu (andrew.shangchi@gmail.com) and Angela Peterson (angiepetey@gmail.com). Should you wish to consider or begin the process, either Andrew or Angela will be assigned as your primary contact and will work with you throughout the process.

Staffers on the Law Review contribute to the Journal via two main functions: producing high quality student scholarship and supporting academic authors via substantive cite checks. Candidates in the Topic Access Program meet the first expectation through the submission of their Comment. Successful Comments address a legal issue that lends itself well to either critical evaluation or innovative reevaluation and provide a creative or novel legal approach to the problem. They go beyond research papers, which simply analyze the existing problem, and litigation briefs, which merely take a position on an existing legal debate. We urge those who are considering this process to eventually familiarize themselves with the Comment Manual, which goes into greater depth on the expectations for successful Comments. Additionally, an individual cannot be both a staffer on the Law Review and a member of another journal simultaneously. As a result, anyone who is interested in participating in the Topic Access Program and is a member of another journal should talk to that journal about their participation to let them know of their interest in joining the Law Review.

A candidate may complete the Topic Access Program through one of two routes: Comments generated through the Topic Access Process and Comments generated by a 499 or Seminar Paper. In an effort to provide structure and meaningful feedback to candidates, the Topic Access Program sets firm deadlines, mirroring those given to staffers, for submission and consideration for both approaches. Membership on a journal frequently entails strict deadlines, and this process is representative of the staffer experience. Comments generated through the Topic Access Process must be submitted by January 4, 2023. Comments originally written as a 499 or Seminar Paper must be submitted by June 26, 2022. The effects of these deadlines on both processes will be discussed in further detail below. Finally, a candidate seeking to gain membership via the Topic Access Program may only participate in either the Topic Access Process or the 499/Seminar option. A candidate may not attempt to gain membership through both paths.

Participation in the Topic Access Program is confidential. We evaluate all Comment submissions anonymously to ensure that every candidate gets as fair of a review as possible. To maintain that anonymity, we discourage people from talking to their peers about their participation in the Topic Access Program or otherwise making public their participation unless they are accepted on to the Law Review, but, of course, candidates are more than welcome (and are, in fact, encouraged) to talk about their Comment topics with professors and other advisors in their lives.

As a final note, it is the goal of Topic Access to help qualified candidates join the Law Review. While the process may seem daunting at first, students can and do succeed in completing the process and becoming members of the Law Review. We are here to help and encourage anyone who is interested in joining the Law Review to apply.
I. Comments Generated by the Topic Access Process

Candidates who seek to join Law Review through the traditional Topic Access Process undergo the same five-step Comment process as new Law Review staffers. This process includes a Preliminary Preemption Check, a Topic Proposal (TP), a Topic Analysis (TA), an Outline, a Check-In, and finally, a Comment Submission. For more information on the content and structure of these requirements, please see the Comment Manual.

The deadlines listed below indicate the last day on which a candidate can submit work for consideration at each stage. For several stages, two dates are listed. A candidate can submit once for each deadline; submissions will all be evaluated after the submission deadline. No submissions will be reviewed before the deadline. If a candidate’s submission is not approved on the first deadline, they may resubmit for consideration at the next deadline. Candidates are highly encouraged to submit at the first deadline. It is relatively common for most submissions to be rejected or require significant edits before being accepted at every stage—this is especially true for TPs, TAs and Comment drafts. The submission of a draft does not restart the clock on any deadline. No submissions will be considered after the deadlines given. Consideration of each submission may take up to a week, so candidates should plan accordingly. Please see below for the schedule of deadlines for the Topic Access Process.

• Preliminary Preemption Check: The Law Review keeps an internal list of topics that other staffers have proposed or written Comments about in recent years. Ideas on that list are not available for Comments written via the Topic Access Program. All candidates should check with Andrew or Angela to verify that their idea is not preempted by topics on the Law Review’s internal list before beginning their TP.

• Topic Proposal: A TP is a 5–7 page memorandum that states a candidate’s proposed topic, provides a brief discussion of the topic and of existing commentary, and concludes with a bibliography. Each candidate has the opportunity to submit two TPs proposing topics they generated. Should a candidate fail to get a topic approved after two high-quality submissions, candidates may have the opportunity to work with Andrew and Angela to find a suitable topic in some cases. For more information on the structure and content of a TP, please see the Comment Manual. The deadlines for submitting a TP for approval will be August 14, 2022, and August 28, 2022. A candidate needs only one TP approved to move on to the next stage.

• Topic Analysis: If a TP is approved, the candidate must write a TA for approval, which may also require multiple submissions. A TA is an additional 4–6 page memorandum that serves as a more detailed preemption check and states how the candidate plans to analyze and resolve the issue. For more information on the structure and content of a TA, please see the Comment Manual. The deadlines for submitting a TA for approval will be September 11, 2022, and September 25, 2022.

• Outline: Once a TA has been approved, candidates should set up a meeting with their Topic Access & Recruitment Editor to discuss goals, priorities, and a tentative timeline for their work. Candidates must write a 5–10 page Outline and have it approved by both Topic Access & Recruitment Editors before beginning to write a first draft of the Comment. The Outline should be detailed, thorough, and state a clear thesis. The Topic Access &
Recruitment Editors should be able to track all of the arguments, evaluate their support, and understand the direction in which a candidate wishes her Comment to go. The deadline for completing an Outline is October 23, 2022.

- **Post-Outline Check-In:** After submitting the Outline and receiving feedback, candidates should set up another check-in with their Topic Access & Recruitment Editor. Candidates should prepare additional materials (e.g., an updated outline or further research) that address main points of improvement or concern from the Outline stage. This formal check-in is meant to help ensure that candidates’ arguments are sound before they begin drafting.

- **Optional Submission Date:** There will be an optional Comment submission date on December 9, 2022 (exact date subject to change). This is for candidates who are especially interested in being considered for board positions. Submission on this date means that candidates—if accepted—can perform cite checks and other tasks in order to be on more of a level playing field with other staff members prior to board selection. If a candidate’s Comment is denied on this optional submission date, the candidate can submit by the final submission deadline below and still be considered for board selection.

- **Comment:** The final submission is a Comment, which must be 10,000–13,500 words in length, footnotes included. Candidates who wish to submit a Comment are highly encouraged to submit drafts before their final submission. The candidate’s Topic Access & Recruitment Editor will review the piece for substance, clarity of argument, and style. Comments are rarely accepted on their first submission and receiving feedback will help the candidate strengthen their submission. The final submission deadline is January 4, 2023.

II. **COMMENTS GENERATED BY A 499 OR SEMINAR PAPER**

Students may also submit a 499 (that is, Independent Study) paper or seminar paper for consideration. However, past experience has taught the Board that there is often a significant difference between papers for credit (even those receiving high grades) and Comments that meet the Law Review’s membership and publication requirements. The Law Review has a different readership than a typical 499 or seminar paper and thus has different requirements for the content of Comments. Therefore, a student who wishes to successfully use a 499 paper for a Comment should expect to revise the paper substantially.

Students who wish to submit a 499 or seminar paper will be required to submit a modified Topic Proposal and a modified Topic Analysis before their Comment will be considered for membership. The Topic Access Program is meant not just to solicit outstanding student scholarship, but to assess how a candidate will contribute to the Law Review as a staffer. Following procedural requirements is an important part of that experience. As such, candidates who fail to complete either the TP or TA will not be considered for Law Review membership regardless of the quality of their Comment submission.

To receive any substantive feedback on a Topic Proposal, Topic Analysis, Outline, or Comment draft from the Law Review for work that is also being done for a 499 or seminar paper, candidates must first obtain written permission from the faculty member who will be evaluating the paper. Professors must be put on notice that they are grading work that is the result of collaboration between the candidate and their Editors. The Topic Access & Recruitment Editors cannot give any substantive feedback without first receiving this written preapproval from the faculty member.
The deadline for submission of the Comment generated by a 499 or seminar paper for membership consideration is **June 26, 2022**. A modified TP, modified TA, and acceptable Comment must be submitted by this date. As noted, approval of a TP or TA requires time for board members to review and Topic Access & Recruitment Editors will frequently require changes or adjustments before granting approval. Candidates pursuing this track should therefore plan their submission timing carefully.

- **Preliminary Preemption Check:** The *Law Review* keeps an internal list of topics that other staffers have proposed or written Comments about in recent years. Ideas on that list are not available for Comments written via the Topic Access Program. All candidates should check with Andrew or Angela to verify that their idea is not preempted by topics on the *Law Review*’s internal list before beginning their TP.

- **Topic Proposal (modified):** Candidates who seek to submit a 499 or seminar paper must write a short memorandum that states the proposed topic and provides a brief discussion of the background. The bulk of the analysis should focus on an overview of the paper’s current structure and give an outline of what changes, if any, will be made to accommodate the academic audience.

- **Topic Analysis (modified):** If a TP is approved, the candidate must write a TA. Because in the case of paper submissions the legal analysis is already relatively far along, TAs in this context should focus on performing a more detailed preemption check. Additionally, candidates should provide an explanation of how their paper fits into existing literature and contributes to the current scholarship.

- **Comment:** Candidates who wish to submit a Comment are highly encouraged to submit drafts before their final submission. The candidate’s Topic Access & Recruitment Editor will edit the piece for substance, clarity of argument, and style. Comments are rarely accepted on their first submission and receiving feedback will help candidates strengthen their submissions.

***

Those who have questions about either the substance of the Topic Access Program or the new deadlines should not hesitate to reach out to either Andrew or Angela. Thank you for your interest in joining the *Law Review*. We look forward to working with those of you who embark upon this process!